arXiv:1010.4655v1 [math.CV] 22 Oct 2010

An Extension of a Normality Result by Carathéodory

- *Draft* -

by Jürgen Grahl and Shahar Nevo

1 An extension of Carathéodory's normality result

The probably most essential and fundamental result in the theory of normal families is Montel's Theorem which says that a family of functions meromorphic in a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ which omit three distinct fixed values in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is normal; Schiff [5] calls it the "Fundamental Normality Test" (FNT). There are two natural directions to generalize this result:

(1) Instead of fixed exceptional values, one may consider exceptional values depending on the respective function in the family under consideration. Of course, in this context one can hope for normality only under additional assumptions on these exceptional values: It does not suffice that the exceptional values are distinct; they have to be kind of "uniformly distinct". The respective version of the FNT is due to Carathéodory [2, p. 202]. Here χ denotes the chordal and σ the spherical metric on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions on a domain D. Suppose there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ omits three distinct values $a_f, b_f, c_f \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying*

$$\sigma(a_f, b_f) \cdot \sigma(a_f, c_f) \cdot \sigma(b_f, c_f) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.

(2) Instead of exceptional values one may consider exceptional functions with disjoint graphs, i.e. omitting each other.

The case of meromorphic exceptional functions is almost trivial: If a, b, c are meromorphic functions on a domain D omitting each other and if each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ omits a, b and c, then we consider the family $\mathcal{G}$ of the cross ratio functions

$$z \mapsto \frac{f(z) - a(z)}{f(z) - b(z)} \cdot \frac{c(z) - b(z)}{c(z) - a(z)}$$

all of which omit the values 0, 1 and ∞ in D. By the FNT we obtain the normality of $\mathcal{G}$, hence of $\mathcal{F}$.

Part of this work was supported by the German Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development (No. G 809−234.6/2003).

> But an analogous normality result even holds for exceptional functions $a, b, c : D \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which are merely continuous (w.r.t. the spherical metric on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$) and which have disjoint graphs as Bargmann et al. [1] have shown with the help of Ahlfors' theory of covering surfaces.

In the present paper we combine these two directions of generalization by considering meromorphic exceptional functions which depend on the respective function in the family under consideration. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exist functions a_f, b_f, c_f meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ or $\equiv \infty$ such that f omits the functions a_f, b_f, c_f in $\mathbb{D}$ and*

$$\sigma(a_f(z), b_f(z)) \cdot \sigma(a_f(z), c_f(z)) \cdot \sigma(b_f(z), c_f(z)) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a normal family.

This result no longer holds for exceptional functions which are merely continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ as the following counterexample shows:

Counterexample: Let $f_n(z) := e^{nz}$, $a_n \equiv 0$, $b_n \equiv \infty$, $c_n(z) := -e^{in\,\mathrm{Im}(z)}$ for all n. Then each f_n omits the continuous functions a_n, b_n and c_n and

$$\sigma(a_n(z), b_n(z)) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad \sigma(a_n(z), c_n(z)) = \sigma(b_n(z), c_n(z)) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, but $(f_n)_n$ is not normal in $\mathbb{D}$.

2 An extension of Zalcman's Lemma

In the proof of Theorem 2 we require an extension of Zalcman's well-known rescaling lemma [6]. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the projections

$$\pi_j : (\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}))^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}) \qquad (1 \leq j \leq p)$$

by

$$\pi_j(f_1, \ldots, f_p) := f_j \qquad \text{for} \qquad (f_1, \ldots, f_p) \in (\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}))^p.$$

Lemma 3 (Extension of Zalcman's Lemma) *Let p be a natural number and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}))^p$. Assume that there exists a $j_0 \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ such that the family $\pi_{j_0}(\mathcal{F})$ is not normal at $z = 0$. Then there exist sequences $(f_n)_n = ((f_{1,n}, \ldots, f_{p,n}))_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $(z_n)_n \in \mathbb{D}$ and $(\varrho_n)_n \in]0; 1[$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho_n = 0$ and such that the sequences $(g_{j,n})_n$ defined by*

$$g_{j,n}(\zeta) := f_{j,n}(z_n + \varrho_n \zeta)$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, p$ converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$ to functions $g_j \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$ such that at least one of the functions $g_1, \ldots, g_p$ is not constant.

Proof. By Marty's theorem there exist sequences $(f_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $(z_n^*)_n \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n^* = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{j_0,n}^{\#}(z_n^*) = \infty$. We define

$$r_n := \left(f_{j_0,n}^{\#}(z_n^*)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2\cdot |z_n^*|.$$

Then we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 0$ and $\frac{|z_n^*|}{r_n} \le \frac{1}{2}$ for all n. Furthermore, we define

$$M_n := \max_{|z|\le r_n} \left(1 - \frac{|z|^2}{r_n^2}\right)\cdot\left(f_{1,n}^{\#}(z) + \cdots + f_{p,n}^{\#}(z)\right).$$

Then we have

$$M_n = \left(1 - \frac{|z_n|^2}{r_n^2}\right)\cdot\left(f_{1,n}^{\#}(z_n) + \cdots + f_{p,n}^{\#}(z_n)\right)$$

for certain $z_n \in U_{r_n}(0)$. From

$$r_n \cdot M_n \ge \left(1 - \frac{|z_n^*|^2}{r_n^2}\right)\cdot\left(f_{j_0,n}^{\#}(z_n^*)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{3}{4}\cdot\left(f_{j_0,n}^{\#}(z_n^*)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we see $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n M_n = \infty$. We define

$$\varrho_n := \frac{r_n^2 - |z_n|^2}{r_n^2 M_n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_n := \frac{r_n - |z_n|}{\varrho_n}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\varrho_n}{r_n - |z_n|} = \frac{r_n + |z_n|}{r_n^2 M_n} \le \frac{2}{r_n M_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n\to\infty).$$

So we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n = \infty$. The functions

$$g_{j,n}(\zeta) := f_{j,n}(z_n + \varrho_n\zeta)$$

are meromorphic in $U_{R_n}(0)$ and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} g_{j,n}^{\#}(\zeta) &= \varrho_n \cdot f_{j,n}^{\#}(z_n + \varrho_n\zeta) \le \frac{\varrho_n M_n}{1 - \frac{1}{r_n^2}\cdot |z_n + \varrho_n\zeta|^2} \\ &= \frac{r_n^2 - |z_n|^2}{r_n^2 - |z_n + \varrho_n\zeta|^2} \le \frac{r_n - |z_n|}{r_n - |z_n| - \varrho_n|\zeta|} \le \frac{1}{1 - \frac{R}{R_n}} < 2 \end{aligned}$$

for $|\zeta| \le R < \frac{1}{2}\cdot R_n$. So by Marty's theorem each sequence $(g_{j,n})_n$ is normal in $U_R(0)$ for every $R > 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $(g_{j,n})_n$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$ to some $g_j \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$ for every $j = 1,\ldots,p$. From

$$g_{1,n}^{\#}(0) + \cdots + g_{p,n}^{\#}(0) = \varrho_n \cdot \left(f_{1,n}^{\#}(z_n) + \cdots + f_{p,n}^{\#}(z_n)\right) = \varrho_n \cdot M_n \cdot \frac{r_n^2}{r_n^2 - |z_n|^2} = 1$$

we finally obtain $g_1^{\#}(0) + \cdots + g_p^{\#}(0) = 1$, i.e. $g_j^{\#}(0) > 0$ for some $j \in \{1,\ldots,p\}$ (not necessarily $j = p$) which means that not all of the g_j are constant. ■

Also Pang's extension of Zalcman's Lemma can be generalized in a similar way.

One major disadvantage of this Lemma is the fact that it does not give any control which of the limit functions g_j are non-constant. (Of course, it is trivial that only those g_j can be non-constant for which $\pi_j(\mathcal{F})$ is not normal.) Proving a stronger version of this Lemma where one can prescribe which g_j is non-constant would be a giant leap for the theory of normal families. In many possible applications (for example, to a famous conjecture of Cartan and Eremenko [3, 4]) it would even suffice if one could exclude the case $g_j \equiv \infty$. On the other hand, in general one cannot expect that one can construct several nonconstant limit functions by simultaneous rescaling. The deeper reason for this is the fact that if $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ are sequences in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ which are not normal at the origin, then one cannot conclude that there exists a sequence $(z_n)_n$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = 0$ such that both $(f_n^\#(z_n))_n$ and $(f_n^\#(z_n))_n$ are unbounded as the following counterexample illustrates.

Counterexample: Consider the functions $f_n(z) := nz + \sqrt{n}$ and $g_n(z) := -nz + \sqrt{n}$. Then

$$f_n^\#(z) = \frac{n}{1 + n|1 + \sqrt{n}z|^2}, \qquad g_n^\#(z) = \frac{n}{1 + n|1 - \sqrt{n}z|^2},$$

so

$$f_n^\#\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = g_n^\#\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = n$$

for all n. Hence by Marty's theorem both sequences $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ are not normal at the origin. On the other hand, $f_n^\#(z_n) \to \infty$ implies $1 + \sqrt{n}z_n \to 0$ while $g_n^\#(z_n) \to \infty$ implies $1 - \sqrt{n}z_n \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. Obviously, it is impossible to satisfy both conditions simultaneously. If $f_n(z_n + \varrho_n\zeta) = nz_n + \varrho_n n\zeta + \sqrt{n}$ (with z_n, ϱ_n as in Zalcman's Lemma) tends to some nonconstant limit function, then $g_n(z_n + \varrho_n\zeta) = -f_n(z_n + \varrho_n\zeta) + \sqrt{n}$ tends to ∞ (and vice versa).

3 Proof of Theorem 2

We start with the corresponding result for functions meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4 *Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that*

$$\sigma(a(z), b(z)) \cdot \sigma(a(z), c(z)) \cdot \sigma(b(z), c(z)) \geq \varepsilon \tag{3.1}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then a, b and c are constant.

Proof. In view of $\sigma(z, w) \leq \pi$ for all $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\sigma(a(z), b(z)) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If $a \equiv \infty$, then we deduce that b is bounded, hence constant by Liouville's theorem. If $a \not\equiv \infty$, then we can conclude that

$$|a(z) - b(z)| \geq \sigma(a(z), b(z)) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which implies that $a - b$ is constant. Now from

$$\left(1 + |a(z)|^2\right) \cdot \left(1 + |b(z)|^2\right) = \frac{|a(z) - b(z)|^2}{(\chi(a(z), b(z)))^2} \leq \frac{|a(z) - b(z)|^2}{\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\sigma(a(z), b(z))\right)^2} \leq \frac{\pi^6}{4\varepsilon^2} \cdot |a(z) - b(z)|^2$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we see that a and b itself are bounded, hence constant.

So we have shown that a and b are constant. In the same way we obtain that c is constant as well. ■

Lemma 5 *Let* $\mathcal{G} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}))^3$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Assume that*

$$\sigma(a(z), b(z)) \cdot \sigma(a(z), c(z)) \cdot \sigma(b(z), c(z)) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{G}$ *and all* $z \in \mathbb{D}$. *Then the families* $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}), \pi_2(\mathcal{G})$ *and* $\pi_3(\mathcal{G})$ *are normal in* $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof. If $\pi_j(\mathcal{G})$ was not normal, then by Lemma 3 one could construct functions $a, b, c \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$ which satisfy (3.1) for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that one of the functions a, b, c is not constant. This contradicts Lemma 4. ■

Proof of Theorem 2: We assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal in $\mathbb{D}$. W.l.o.g. we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z = 0$. Then by Lemma 3 there exist sequences $(f_n)_n \in \mathcal{F}$, $(a_n)_n, (b_n)_n, (c_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D}) \cup \{\infty\}$, $(z_n)_n \in \mathbb{D}$ and $(\varrho_n)_n \in]0; 1[$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho_n = 0$, f_n omits the functions a_n, b_n, c_n,

$$\sigma(a_n(z), b_n(z)) \cdot \sigma(a_n(z), c_n(z)) \cdot \sigma(b_n(z), c_n(z)) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and all n and such that the sequences $(g_n)_n$, $(A_n)_n$, $(B_n)_n$ and $(C_n)_n$ defined by

$$g_n(\zeta) := f_n(z_n + \varrho_n \zeta),$$

$$A_n(\zeta) := a_n(z_n + \varrho_n \zeta), \qquad B_n(\zeta) := b_n(z_n + \varrho_n \zeta), \qquad C_n(\zeta) := c_n(z_n + \varrho_n \zeta)$$

converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$ to functions $g, A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$, resp., not all of which are constant. Now Lemma 5 ensures that $(a_n)_n$, $(b_n)_n$ and $(c_n)_n$ are normal. This forces A, B and C to be constant. Therefore, g is not constant. By Hurwitz's theorem, g omits the three distinct constants A, B and C. This contradicts Picard's theorem.

References

[1] Bargmann, D.; Bonk, M.; Hinkkanen, A.; Martin, G.: Families of meromorphic functions avoiding continuous functions, J. Anal. Math. 79 (1999), 379-387

[2] Carathéodory, C.: Theory of functions of a complex variable, Vol. II, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York 1960

[3] Eremenko, A., A counterexample to Cartan's conjecture on holomorphic curves omitting hyperplanes, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (10) (1996), 3097-3100

[4] Eremenko, A., Holomorphic curves omitting five planes in projective space, Am. J. Math. 118 (6) (1996), 1141-1151

[5] Schiff, J.: Normal Families, Springer, New York 1993

[6] Zalcman, L.: A heuristic principle in complex function theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 813-817

Jürgen Grahl
University of Würzburg
Department of Mathematics
Würzburg
Germany
e-mail: grahl@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Shahar Nevo
Bar-Ilan University
Department of Mathematics
Ramat-Gan 52900
Israel
e-mail: nevosh@macs.biu.ac.il